

Publication Date: 30 June 2024

Archs Sci. (2024) Volume 74, Issue 3 Pages 37-42, Paper ID 2024307.
<https://doi.org/10.62227/as/74307>

Reform of Piano Tuning Teaching in Music Universities Based on Personalized Talent Training

Jingzhe Bai^{1,*}

¹Dance Academy, Henan Vocational Institute of Arts, Zhengzhou 450000, china.

Corresponding authors: Jingzhe Bai(e-mail: bjz450990301@sina.com).

Abstract The accuracy of piano music classification based on high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm is automatically evaluated by computer technology, and the K-means model algorithm is used to conduct a comparison test. By comparing the classification results of high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm with the piano music classification results of K-means algorithm, the piano learning burden can be reduced and the piano learning effect can be improved. The results of this paper show that the automatic piano performance evaluation system based on the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm has an accuracy rate of 95% and has a good rating effect.

Index Terms K-means algorithm, piano tuning teaching, music

I. Introduction

With the continuous development and deepening of China's reform and opening up, people's material living standards are rising, and people's pursuit of spiritual quality of life is also getting higher and higher, and piano learning is also sought after by more and more people [1], [2].

According to the survey, about 20% of parents in the city want their children to learn to play piano, and the number of piano grade examinations is growing at a rate of 20% per year [3]. It is difficult to improve the supply and demand of piano teachers in China in a short period of time."

Although many parents in China would like their children to learn to play piano, the cost of piano education is actually high, not only does an ordinary piano cost tens of thousands of dollars, but piano instruction costs hundreds of dollars per hour [4], [5]. As a result, parents are often able to hire a teacher for only one hour a week, while the rest of the time, students are left to learn blindly, which leads to unsatisfactory piano learning results [6].

With the development of information technology, computers have been widely used in the field of music. From the early phonograph to the current electro-acoustic band are the products of the development of electronic technology [7]. At present, piano learners can download various piano scores, sound samples and other piano learning materials from the Internet, which can reduce the pressure of piano learning and enhance the effect of piano learning. Compared to teacher learning, music learning through computer-based learning materials is less interactive [8]. It is not only the accuracy of the notes played that makes a piano performance good or bad, but also the rhythm and coherence of the piano performance that

is usually instructed by the teacher in the process of teaching. Therefore, it is very important to use computer technology to automatically evaluate the piano playing technique, so as to reduce the burden of piano learning and improve the piano learning effect [9], [10].

The computer recognition and automatic evaluation of piano performance involves various aspects of music theory, signal processing, pattern recognition, and piano performance technology, and involves many fields such as music theory, electronics, computers and physics. At the same time, the automatic evaluation system of piano performance is also useful for the development of computerized composition, automatic score and music feature recognition.

II. Related Work

The Moorer system was the first polyphonic recognition system that supported the input of two voices. The early Moorer system required that the ranges of the two voices be staggered and that the fundamental frequency (Pitch) ranges of the two voices to be input do not overlap with each other. By the late 1980s, efforts were made to develop the perception and extraction of music signals with the aim of creating a system similar to the one used by the human ear to perceive music. This project resulted in the design of two systems, one of which was able to perform recognition of three input voices while tolerating more errors: the other was able to recognize monophonic melodies of Japanese folk songs and to recognize inaccurate or erroneous content in music according to the characteristics of Japanese music. In 1993, [11] proposed an algorithm for multi-piano work recognition based on computer auditory pathway analysis, and proved by

practice that the algorithm has good performance of multi-piano work recognition. [12] studied a music recognition system using heuristic signal processing method, which can recognize organ ensemble music with as many as 8 inputs. However, the system placed more emphasis on the perceptual consistency of the system recognition results with the input music listening, and was not able to accurately identify the notes in the music [13]’s research group made a significant contribution to automatic music recognition by clearly analyzing the human auditory discrimination mechanism for the first time, as well as introducing a process-based pitch modeling process, proposing an automatic pitch modeling algorithm, and being able to automatically extract from the signal The system was introduced in 1995. In 1995, the system was further improved by adopting the blackboard structure, which abandoned the global control module, and the organic integration of various types of prior knowledge, which greatly improved the effect of music recognition, and made the system have better prior knowledge through the application of the blackboard structure in Bayesian probabilistic networks. [14] also used the blackboard structure to study the music recognition system, although the study did not establish the propagation network and probabilistic information, nor did it perform automatic pitch modeling, that is, by adding a perceptually weighted front-end, and analyzing the correlation graph, thus supporting the analysis of multiple music signal inputs with a maximum number of pronunciations of 4. Because of the short development time of computer technology in China, there is a lack of domestic research on piano music recognition The research on piano music recognition, feature extraction and automatic evaluation is lacking in China. However, in the field of automatic speech recognition, which is related to automatic piano recognition, many universities and research institutes have started to research on automatic speech recognition technology due to the high attention of the state.

Due to the sensitivity of the human ear to sound and the ambiguity of emotion, it is not a perfect performance that requires the piano music to be exactly the same as the music in the score. Therefore, after extracting the characteristics of piano music, some intelligent evaluation methods are usually used to classify the performed piano music for the purpose of piano music evaluation. At present, the main classification and evaluation methods are neural network algorithms. The development of neural network can be traced back to 1890, [15]clarified about the structure of human brain and its functions. [16], [17] The learning rule emphasizing the change of connection strength between artificial neurons created a new situation in the research of neuronal networks and marked the period of neural networks entering the application. [18] A system with self-learning capability was successfully developed, which started the first research boom of artificial neuronal networks. [19] developed an adaptive linear unit Adaline, Adanlie a two-layer variant of Adanlie Mdaaline. was applied-T various fields, including speech recognition, character recognition, atmospheric forecasting and adaptive control functions, shortly after, Widrow used the adaptive

linear unit algorithm to develop an adaptive filter, which can be used to eliminate This was the first application of neural networks to a practical problem. [20] proposed a neural network for visual recognition called "Neocognitron", which was compatible with biological vision theory and could perform pattern recognition without guidance, but the network structure was too complex to be generalized. The PDP group led by [18] developed a backpropagation network, which has been more widely used. This model introduced the LyaPunov function (i.e., computational energy function), gave the basis for determining the stability of the network, and proved the principle that a neural network system with interconnected units would achieve minimum energy loss. the Hopfield model successfully solved the complex NP problem, i.e. The Hopfield model successfully solves the complex NP problem, namely the "salesman’s travel path" problem (computation increases exponentially with the number of cities N). Moreover, the model can be implemented by integrated circuits, which provides the basis for neuronal computers. Rumelhart and McClelland proposed an error propagation learning algorithm for multilayer networks, referred to as the B-P model. This model introduced multilayer nodes to solve the problem of nonlinear samples. Many successful neural network models were also proposed by many researchers in the same period. These results have greatly contributed to the development of neuronal networks.

III. Architecture

The computerized evaluation system of the piano performance is a computerized system that automatically discriminates a piece of piano performance music, evaluates whether it meets the requirements of music performance according to the requirements of music performance and logic, and scores the performance according to certain rules.

Of course, in the future further development work, the system will give textual evaluation of the performer’s performance and suggest improvements.

Based on the system design idea, the overall framework of the designed system is shown in Figure 1:




Figure 1: Overall system structure

The detection of the end frame of piano music is similar to the detection of the beginning frame of piano music.

IV. Introduction to Collaborative Filtering Recommendation Algorithms for High-Dimensional Data

In the process of solving the similarity between high-dimensional data, the spatial vector method is mainly used to determine the value of weight information between high-dimensional data information [19] set the corresponding threshold value according to the weight information to prevent the weight threshold value of high-dimensional data information from being too high, resulting in low similarity. The formula for calculating the weight of high-dimensional data information is as follows:

$$\text{Weight}(t)_d = [\alpha(t)_d + \beta(t)_d] \times \text{TFIDF}(t), \quad (1)$$

which can be calculated by Eq. (2), i.e.

$$\text{TFIDF}(t)_d = \frac{\text{TF}(t)_d \times \log 10 \left(\frac{N_k}{n_i} + 0.01 \right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{xd} \left[\text{TF}(t)_d \times \log 10 \left(\frac{N_k}{n_i} + 0.01 \right) \right]^2}} \quad (2)$$

In the above equation, N_k denotes the amount of features in the information set of high-dimensional data, $\text{TF}(t)_d$ denotes the probability of existence of high-dimensional data t in dataset d , n_i denotes the number of all high-dimensional data in dataset d .

By adaptive analysis method, the similarity between high-dimensional data is defined as:

$$\text{Sim}(s_i, s_j) = \frac{|C_i \cap C_j|}{|C_i \cup C_j|}. \quad (3)$$

In the above equation, C denotes the set of feature information between high-dimensional data. By solving the two high-dimensional data feature vectors s and s , we can obtain the contrast value about the high-dimensional data feature vectors, so as to determine the similarity between high-dimensional data. The similarity between high-dimensional data can be determined by Eq. (3), where 0 means that there is no similarity between the two high-dimensional data information, and 1 means that the high-dimensional data information is completely similar.

By calculating the similarity of high-dimensional data, the distribution of similarity of different high-dimensional data in the dataset is obtained, and the following matrix is used to express the meaning:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & \cdots & s_{1n} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & \cdots & s_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{n1} & s_{n2} & \cdots & s_{nm} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where, s_{nm} denotes the similarity between s_n and s_m different high-dimensional data in the dataset.

By analyzing the similarity of different high-dimensional data in the dataset, we set the similarity weight threshold value of high-dimensional data, and obtain the similarity between high-dimensional data in the dataset by calculating the weight

value, and get the distribution of similarity between high-dimensional data information, and complete the calculation of similarity value between high-dimensional data.

Using data mining technology, we preprocess the high-dimensional data information to realize the classification of information. We construct a collaborative filtering scoring model, which is expressed by $m \times n$ collaborative filtering scoring matrix, as follows:

$$R(m, n) = \begin{Bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{m1} & r_{m2} & \cdots & r_{mn} \end{Bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

In the above equation, m represents the number of rows of the scoring matrix in the collaborative filtering process for high-dimensional data, n is the number of columns of the scoring matrix in the collaborative filtering process, and the scoring result of high-dimensional data i on target data j is expressed as R_{ij} . The evaluation level of the high-dimensional data is expressed by a constant from 0 to 5, which represents the rating of the collaborative filtering of the high-dimensional data.

$$P(c_k) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{I(c_k)}{N} \quad (6)$$

$$P(q_i^j | c_k) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N I(q_i^j, c_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^N I(c_k)}, \quad (7)$$

where, N denotes the frequency of users clicking on preferences, $I(c_k)$ denotes the probability between users' search results and ratings, and $I(q_i^j, c_k)$ denotes the conditional probability of users' search $\sum_{i=1}^N I(c_k)$ denotes the probability of similarity between the training samples and users' preferences in collaborative filtering of high-dimensional data. After training the model, the collaborative filtering inference can be performed on the high-dimensional data q^j :

$$U = P(c_k) \prod_{j=1}^n P(q_i^j | c_k). \quad (8)$$

In the above equation, different user preferences are obtained depending on the characteristics of the high-dimensional data, and this value represents the relationship between user preferences and recommendation results.

In the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation process, suppose $\{(u_1, a_1), \dots, (u_n, a_n)\}$ denotes the data node information, n recommendation results and m users search high-dimensional data bipartite graph as G , a_i is the predicted value of high-dimensional data collaborative filtering results, the user preferences collaborative filtering a_i , summation, that is

$$w_{qi} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{k(u_j)}, \quad (9)$$

where, $k(u_j)$ denotes the number of collaborative filtering of high-dimensional data, and a_j denotes the predicted value of the collaborative filtering result. The prediction of the recommendation list according to the user's preference, i.e.

$$w_{qi} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{k(u_j)} \frac{\text{click}(u_j, q_i)}{N}, \quad (10)$$

where, $\text{click}(u_j, q_i)$ denotes the probability of high-dimensional data q_i being recommended.

In the final list of high-dimensional data recommendations, there are

$$v_{qi} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\text{click}(u_i, q_i)}{\text{Num}(q_i)} + \alpha \frac{|q \cap q_i|}{|q \cup q_i|} \right], \quad (11)$$

in which, α represents the time influence factor, and different time factors are set according to different users' preference coefficients. By collaborative filtering of user preferences q_i the high-dimensional data with the highest similarity are recommended to users

$$N = \sum_{j=1}^n t(q, q_i), \quad (12)$$

where, $t(q, q_i)$ indicates the correlation of q with q_i .

According to the above process, a collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm for high-dimensional data is designed to achieve collaborative filtering recommendation for high-dimensional data.

V. Results

In order to compare the accuracy of piano music classification based on high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm, the K-means model algorithm is used to verify the accuracy and feasibility of piano performance music classification using high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm and K-means algorithm.

According to the requirements of the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm, the 1000 music works were divided into two data sets, train and test, which contain 400 piano music works and 600 piano music works respectively.

In order to obtain a better classification effect, the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm was tested four times, i.e., 400 piano performance music were selected four times from 1000 piano works respectively, and each time the piano performance music was demanded, in order to ensure the distribution of piano music evaluation after each random selection, the 1000 piano works were divided into five categories according to the evaluation, and each time when the selection was made, then in each category in

order to ensure the distribution of piano music evaluation after each random selection, the 1000 piano works were divided into 5 categories according to the evaluation, and 80 piano works were selected in each category, thus ensuring that each randomly selected piano music collection contains 80 piano music with good evaluation, 80 piano music with average evaluation, 80 piano music with qualified evaluation, 80 piano music with unqualified evaluation and 80 piano music with poor evaluation.

In each test, the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm was trained to classify the remaining 600 piano performance music, and the error between the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm and the real evaluation of piano works was calculated for each test by comparing the design evaluation of these 600 piano performance music. The objective matrix of each test data set is shown in Table 1:

	Good	Commonly	Qualified	Unqualified	Difference
Good	1	0	0	0	0
Commonly	0	1	0	0	0
Qualified	0	0	1	0	0
Unqualified	0	0	0	0	1
Difference	0	0	0	1	0

Table 1: Objective matrix

As shown in Table 1, the horizontal rows represent the actual evaluation results of piano music, and the vertical rows represent the evaluation results of piano music by the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm. In the correct evaluation process, piano music with good evaluation is evaluated as good, piano music with average evaluation is evaluated as average, piano music with fair evaluation is evaluated as qualified, piano music with unqualified evaluation is evaluated as unqualified, and piano music with poor evaluation is evaluated as poor.

In order to verify the effect of piano performance music classification based on high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm designed in this paper, K-means clustering algorithm and high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm were used for comparison and analysis in this research project. The k-means clustering algorithm trained by four randomly selected piano music training sets was used to classify the remaining 600 piano performance music in the following matrix.

The error between the result matrix and the target matrix obtained from the second K-means clustering algorithm test is shown in Table 2.

	Good	Commonly	Qualified	Unqualified	Difference
Good	0.075	0	0.27	0	1.83
Commonly	-0.54	-0.005	1.34	0.34	-0.82
Qualified	1.32	1.21	0.001	2.44	0.82
Unqualified	-0.23	-0.004	-0.67	0.08	-0.31
Difference	1.34	0.60	-0.42	0.26	-0.01

Table 2: Error between the result matrix of the first test and the actual situation

As shown in Table 2, the error between the second K-means clustering algorithm test results and the actual situation is calculated as $error_2=1.6053$

The error between the result matrix and the target matrix obtained from the third K-means clustering algorithm test is shown in Table 3.

	Good	Commonly	Qualified	Unqualified	Difference
Good	-0.021	1.33	-0.31	-1.32	0.78
Commonly	1.21	0.08	-0.18	1.84	0.82
Qualified	-0.001	-0.312	0.08	-0.721	-0.312
Unqualified	1.21	0.82	0.82	0.026	0.82
Difference	0.60	-0.19	-0.42	0.31	0.027

Table 3: Error between the result matrix of the third test and the actual situation

As shown in Table 3, the error between the results of the third k-means clustering algorithm test and the actual situation was calculated as $error_3=1.7832$.

The error between the result matrix and the target matrix obtained from the fourth K-means clustering algorithm test is shown in Table 4.

	Good	Commonly	Qualified	Unqualified	Difference
Good	0.025	0.78	1.32	1.27	1.21
Commonly	-0.31	0.01	-1.32	1.34	-0.004
Qualified	0.82	-0.31	0.13	0.78	1.21
Unqualified	0.26	0.82	0.60	-0.12	1.21
Difference	1.85	-0.31	0.11	-0.004	0.03

Table 4: Error between the result matrix of the third test and the actual situation

As shown in Table 4, the error between the test results of the fourth k-means clustering algorithm and the actual situation was calculated as $error_3=1.6472$.

The classification result matrix of the remaining 600 piano performance music by the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm trained by the four randomly selected piano music training sets is shown in the following table. The result matrix obtained from the first test of the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm with the target matrix error is shown in Table 5.

	Good	Commonly	Qualified	Unqualified	Difference
Good	0.025	-0.19	1.01	0.82	0.83
Commonly	0.56	0.023	0.60	1.83	-0.42
Qualified	0.82	-1.32	0.024	-0.823	1.831
Unqualified	-0.004	0.82	-0.82	0.012	-0.312
Difference	-0.002	1.31	0.32	0.60	0.033

Table 5: Error between the result matrix and the actual situation for the fourth test

As shown in Table 5, the error between the results of the first test of collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm for high-dimensional data and the actual situation is calculated as $error_1=0.6539$

The error between the result matrix and the target matrix obtained from the second test of the collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm for high-dimensional data is shown in Table 6.

	Good	Commonly	Qualified	Unqualified	Difference
Good	-0.031	1.56	-0.82	0.23	1.83
Commonly	0.82	0.01	1.212	0.60	1.82
Qualified	1.32	0.82	0.085	2.44	0.83
Unqualified	1.83	1.21	-0.67	0.047	0.82
Difference	0.60	0.61	1.42	0.26	0.068

Table 6: Error between the result matrix of the second test and the actual situation

As shown in Table 6, the error between the results of the second test of collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm for high-dimensional data and the actual situation is calculated as $error_2=0.4076$.

The error between the result matrix and the target matrix obtained from the third test of high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm is shown in Table 7.

	Good	Commonly	Qualified	Unqualified	Difference
Good	-0.02	0.89	-0.31	0.78	1.31
Commonly	0.82	-0.03	-0.19	0.82	1.14
Qualified	1.38	0.82	0.09	-0.31	1.21
Unqualified	-0.31	0.23	1.83	0.012	0.82
Difference	0.82	0.31	0.912	0.60	-0.119

Table 7: Error between the result matrix of the second test and the actual situation

As shown in Table 7, the error between the results of the third test of collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm for high-dimensional data and the actual situation is calculated as $error_3=0.2652$.

Finally, the average error value of the K-means piano performance music classification was calculated with all data. It is shown that the piano music classification results by the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm are closer to the actual piano music classification results, i.e., the classification results by the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm are better than the classification results by the K-means clustering algorithm.

The piano music classification model designed by the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm is more accurate and allows better attention to the risks of piano music.

VI. Conclusion

In order to compare the accuracy of piano music classification based on high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm studied in this paper, the K-means model algorithm was used to conduct a comparison test to verify the accuracy of piano performance music classification using high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm and K-means algorithm in this paper. The final results of the comparison experiments show that the piano music classification by the high-dimensional data collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm is not only accurate but also feasible. The final results show that the piano music classification model designed by the high-dimensional data

collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm can improve the accuracy of the piano music classification results by more than 6 times, which can meet the demand of automatic piano evaluation.

Funding

This study received funding support from the following sources: Research on the Problems and Countermeasures of Piano Accompaniment in Music Class Project ID: JY45099.

References

- [1] Büchi, G., Cugno, M., & Castagnoli, R. (2020). Smart factory performance and Industry 4.0. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 150, 119790.
- [2] Tabuena, A. C. (2019). Effectiveness of classroom assessment techniques in improving performance of students in music and piano. *Global Researchers Journal*, 6(1), 68-78.
- [3] Lahdelma, I., & Eerola, T. (2020). Cultural familiarity and musical expertise impact the pleasantness of consonance/dissonance but not its perceived tension. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1-11.
- [4] Palanisamy, S., Thangaraju, B., Khalaf, O. I., Alotaibi, Y., Alghamdi, S., & Alassery, F. (2021). A novel approach of design and analysis of a hexagonal fractal antenna array (HFAA) for next-generation wireless communication. *Energies*, 14(19), 6204.
- [5] Zhou, J., Sun, J., Zhang, W., & Lin, Z. (2023). Multi-view underwater image enhancement method via embedded fusion mechanism. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 121, 105946.
- [6] Alsubari, S. N., Deshmukh, S. N., Alqarni, A. A., Alsharif, N., H., T. et al. (2022). Data Analytics for the Identification of Fake Reviews Using Supervised Learning. *CMC-Computers, Materials & Continua*, 70(2), 3189-3204.
- [7] Song, Z. (2024). Investigation and Analysis of Online Piano Improvisational Accompaniment Education: A Case Study of Universities in Guangzhou, Foshan, and Zhaoqing. *Education Reform and Development*, 6(3), 49-62.
- [8] Yu, L., & Luo, Z. (2022). The use of artificial intelligence combined with wireless network in piano music teaching. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 1-18.
- [9] He, Y. (2023). The Study of the Teacher-student Relationship in Online Teaching-Based on Xianyang Normal University Electric Piano Collective Class. *The Educational Review, USA*, 7(10), 1504-1508.
- [10] Wang, Y. Fuzzy logical system for personalized vocal music instruction and psychological awareness in colleges using big data. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, (Preprint), 1-15.
- [11] Ayensu, S. N., Acquah, E. O., & Annan, J. F. (2021). Technological Remedy for Music Practical Lessons amidst Covid-19 Restrictions in the Department of Music Education, University of Education Winneba. *Journal: British Journal of Contemporary Education*, (1), 84-98.
- [12] Zhihan, H., Yuan, L., & Jin, T. (2022). Design of music training assistant system based on artificial intelligence. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Scalable Information Systems*, 9(6), e2-e2.
- [13] Su, L., & Jiang, Q. (2021). A knowledge-based system for children's music teaching strategies based on the inheritance of local music culture in Southern Jiangsu. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, 35(14), 2259003.
- [14] Zhang, Q., & Li, X. (2021). Feasibility analysis of multimedia technology applied in musical drama teaching class—taking the teaching practice of the township version of “Tang Xianzu” as an example. *Journal of Testing and Evaluation*, 49(4), 2284-2294.
- [15] Tiwari, H. P. (2023). An Optimization-Based Artificial Intelligence Framework for Teaching English at College Level Under Tribhuvan University. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics*, 8(1), 79-91.
- [16] Liu, R. (2020). Development and implementation of integrated curriculum in vocational colleges. *Barnard Education Review*, 1(1), 60-69.
- [17] Cui, J., & Karim, K. (2023). Preservation of Uighur Muqam Art through Teaching Materials and Transmission Strategies in Education in Xinjiang Province, China: Uighur Muqam Art through Teaching Materials and Transmission Strategies. *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction*, 15(3), 2206-2218.
- [18] Guan, X., Li, J., Zheng, Z., & Shi, J. (2024). The Experiences of Teachers in Teaching Computer Music in Selected Colleges and Universities in Hubei China. *Journal of World Englishes and Educational Practices*, 6(1), 88-116.
- [19] Ling, S. I. (2023). Music Technology in the 21st Century of Global Music Education: Opportunities and Challenges. In the *14th Asia-Pacific Symposium for Music Education Research (APSMER 2023)* (pp. 242-251).
- [20] Liu, H., Hou, C., & Ramzani, S. R. (2022). Construction and reform of art design teaching mode under the background of the integration of non-linear equations and the internet. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*, 7(1), 215-222.

...